

Harvey
10/822,569

REMARKS

The undersigned requests a personal interview with the Examiner in charge of this application.

Claims 1, 6-7 and 12 were objected to for a number informalities. These claims have been corrected in the manner suggested by the Examiner. The Examiner pointed out that there was no support for sunglasses in claim 17 and this has been remedied.

Claims 1-10 and 12-13 were rejected as being anticipated by Glanzbergh.

Claims 11 and 14-17 were rejected as being unpatentable over Glanzdbergh.

Glanzbergh discloses the use of small corrected lenses to be temporarily mounted on noncorrected lenses in an optician's shop, for example, so that the user can see how a new set of glasses would look on him or her. As seen in the various figures and described in cols. 5 and 6, these lenses are small so that they can be mounted in the center of the noncorrected glasses. For example, in col. 5, last paragraph, the inventor states "that it does not have to cover the entire surface of blank stock lens 3" and in col. 6, first ten lines, "the corrective device 7 can be applied to the stock lenses 3 and 4 by the consumer as well as the lab technician and can be rapidly removed and reaffixed to the stock lenses of the next frame to be tried on". Contrary to the Examiner's statements in the bottom of page 4 of the Office Action, the undersigned was unable to find a teaching of cutting the films. In fact, because of the foregoing, the reference can be construed as a teaching away from the idea of cutting the films.

In view of Glanzbergh, which is an excellent reference otherwise, claim 1 has been amended to recite the corrective optical film is adapted to be cut to size to fit the lens to be corrected, a clear departure from the reference.

Method claim 12 has been similarly amended except that cutting of the film is a step in the process, something not taught or suggested in the art of record.

Harvey
10/822,569

Depending claim 13 has been amended to recite that the eyeglasses are sunglasses. An important aspect of the present invention is being able to convert non corrected sunglasses to corrected glasses simply and economically, also something which does not appear to be taught or suggested in the art.

The remaining depending claims, except for claim 8 which as been canceled, all add details of the invention and should be allowable along with any parent claim.

In view of the foregoing, it is believed that the claims now in the case clearly read over the art now of record and should be allowed.

A conscientious effort has been made to place this application in condition for immediate allowance. The Examiner is requested to call the undersigned or Mr. Kroll if further changes are required to obtain allowance of the application.

A favorable action is solicited.

Respectfully submitted,



LEONARD BELKIN
Reg. No. 18,063
Tel 301-254-8549

For
Michael I. Kroll
Reg. No. 26,755
171 Stillwell Lane
Syosset, New York 11791
Tel 516-367-7777

Dated: Sept. 2, 2005

SEP-2-2005 04:02P FROM:LEONARD BELKIN 3016563839

TO:USPTO

P:7

Harvey
10/822,569

CERTIFICATE OF FAXING

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the U. S.
Patent and Trademark Office, telephone number 572-272-8300 on Sept. 2, 2005.


Leonard Belkin